Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/045,649	MORIMOTO, NOBUYOSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KRISTINE K. RAPILLO	3626		

		TATOTIVE TO TO TEES	0020		
7	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY	FILED 08 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.		
applica applica for Cor periods		replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit leal (with appeal fee) in compliance of CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed of	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
	e period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing				
no no	e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I aminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.	
	ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	• •			
have been file under 37 CFR set forth in (b)	time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date d is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later by earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The No	otice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of	
filing th Notice	ne Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMEN					
(a)	roposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 					
(d) 🔲	appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a		cted claims.		
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).	
	proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7. For pu how th The sta	rposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) e new or amended claims would be rejected is proatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: s) withdrawn from consideration:				
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The aff because	fidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, buse applicant failed to provide a showing of good an ot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
entere	fidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing d because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o g a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
	uffidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after en	itry is below or attach	ed.	
11. X The r	equest for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
13. Other	.	· · · 			
		/Robert Morgan/			
		Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3626		